P.F. 93 of 94

G. R. S. IX-5]

Previous references.

1891-92

192

(L.R

File No. 113 of 91

Serial Nos. 1-13

Later references.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. 1-13

Major ~~Part~~ B for April 1897.

SUBJECT.

Question of imposing wet-rate on Mudda Maistry's lands below the Mallarajapura Tank, Nagamangala [illegible]

WD 2233—GPB—20,000—14-6-20

Commissioned [illegible]

Petitioner:— Madda Maistry
of
Nargamahalli
Nagamangala Talook
Mysore District

Has the tank been inspected by an officer of D.P.W. If not ~~[illegible]~~ the Dep. Comm. must arrange for the inspection of the tank, and the submission of a professional report on its present condition and the outlay incurred by Madda Maistri. Explanation must also be submitted as to the circs under which the Sub-Dn officer took it upon himself to hold out hopes of any concession & permitted the

Subject.

The petitioner, who with the sanction of the Government, restored a breached tank in Mallarajapura, prays that he may be granted the concession of paying dry rates only on some of his lands which were converted from dry lands into wet lands after the restoration of the tank.

The facts of the case are:—

1 On 12-1-91, Madda Maistry applied for permission to

Dupl. Dy. 23/5

Pet. Dr. Mr. 25.5.95

Restoration of the tank on his own responsibility

Recd 25/5/95

restore, at his private cost, a government breached tank in Mullarajapura village. He stated that the restoration of the ~~village~~ tank would be of great use for drinking purposes to the marginally* noted villages, much suffering them from scarcity of water and that he would undertake the work if he could be given the following concessions.

* Mullarajapura
Neelakantanahally
Naraganahally
Gollarakoppalu

1 The assessment on the bed of the tank which was in his holding should be struck off.

The Survey Supt suggests that the Sub-Ingr lands may be brought under Lan 13 (3) of Law Rev Regn

2 On receipt of dry rates from him he should be allowed to receive wet crops on his dry lands by ~~with~~ irrigating them with water of the restored tank.

Maise?

2. The Amaldar submitted

a report to the Sub Divisional officer that the holders of the lands under the tank gave their consent to the restoration of the tank and also to pay wet assessment on their lands if water be supplied to them from the tank

× As per their kipheat before the Amaldar

3. The Subdivisional officer said in reply that permission to restore the tank would be given to the petitioners provided he did it from motives of charity and not on account of any concessions granted to him and directed that a Mutchalika should be taken from him to the effect should he agree.

4. The Amaldar reported that the petitioners agreed

× As per his Kipheat

to use for his lands only the surplus water after enough was stored for the use of people and cattle of the surrounding villages.

5. On this the subdivisional officer ordered that — permission to restore the tank should be given reserving the question of concession to be considered after the restoration of the tank. This order was communicated to the petitioners by an endorsement by the Amaldar on 24-10-91.

19-10-91. No 555/550 P 5

6. On 12-12-91 the petitioner personally presented a petition to the Dewan. No endorsement was given to him in reply to it, but the petitioner however says that he was orally promised that only dry assessment

P 2

would be levied on his dry lands which might be converted into wet by the improvement of the tank.

7. The petitioner again presented a petition to the Dewan on 10-7-92 stating that the restoration of the tank had been completed and requesting that the concession promised to him by the Dewan might be granted to him. This petition was forwarded to the Dy: Com for attention.

8. The Dy: Com called for the report of the Sub-divisional officer who reported* that strictly speaking no concession could be granted to the petitioners under section XX para 13 of the Revenue Rules

* The report is in English

as the tank was not a new one, that when permission to restore the tank was granted the question of concession was reserved for further consideration without any explicit hope being held out and that if any thing with regard to concession were to be done at all it was matter for the special consideration of the Gt.

9. The Dy: Cour reported that the extent of land which could be brought under cultivation by the tank was acrs: Gnts 20 . 1 of which ac: Gt 12 - 19 only belonged to the petitioner, that as to levy a full water rate of Rs 2-8 per acre, as per Rule XIX para 3, would be totally to ignore petitioner's rights for consideration for the repairs executed by him it would be proper that the

~~to the petitioner, that the water rate of Rs 2-8 per acre leviable on petitioner's lands, apart from any concession as per Rule XIX para 1 cau iii of Land Revenue Rules, might in consideration~~

lower rate of Rs 1-8 per acre might be levied on the petitioner's lands that as regards the other lands the higher rate of Rs 2-8 should be levied and that either the whole amount so collected should be taken to Gt or the difference between this higher rate and the more lenient rate of Rs 1-8 per acre should be given to the petitioner in consideration of his obligation to keep the tank in repairs.

~~of the petitioner's claims and also holding him responsible to keep the tank in good order, be changed to the lower rate of Rs 1-8 per acre (as provided in case iv of the above Rule), that as regards the other lands the usual water rate of Rs 2-8 might be levied and that the extra rate of Rs 1 per acre charged on these lands might be given to the petitioner for his keeping the tank in good repair.~~

10. The Dy: Cour: was informed that the petitioner was entitled only to one or the other of the concessions mentioned in para 3 of Appendix F to the Rules under L. R code and was asked to report on the

following points:-

(1) Which of the concessions mentioned in the para above referred to, the petitioner would select.

(2) The average wet assessment for the Taluk.

(3) The highest dry land rate prevailing in this and the surrounding villages

(4) The area and assessment of the land submerged by the tank.

11 On this a Kipheat was obtained from Mudda maisty in which he stated that he would maintain the tank in good repair, that he would pay the usual assessment on the submerged land and that he would accept the concession 2 (a) of paying the highest

rate on the dry land in the village in which the tank is situated) provided that the highest dry rate of Mullarajapura is charged for all his lands under the tank

× From the records in × is plain that some of the petitioner's lands under the tank belong to Nargenahally but what the extents of those lands is, is not

12. The Dy: Com: submitted the above Tiphant to the Government with his report giving the following information:-

(1) The average wet assessment for the Taluk is Rs 4-6-5

(2) The highest dry rate prevailing in Mullarajapura and in the surrounding villages is Rs 1 and 2 per acre respectively.

(3) The area and assessment of the land submerged by the

restored tank is ac-G 7-16

10

and Rs 6-8 respectively.

N. Ramarao
26-4-95

Subd. to the Rev. Commissioner with file.
Survey settlement seems to have been introduced into the Nagar-mangala Palak in 1888-89.

21/5

As directed, the Dy. Commr. got the tank inspected by the superdisor of Nagamangala range. The superdisor estimates the cost of restoration at Rs 2296, the amildar's & petr's estimates being Rs 1000 + 2000 respectively.

The Survey Supt. who was asked to favour Govt. with his views in the matter, reports

(1) That petr. is entitled to some concession of other

(2) That a remission of 1/4 wet assessment on lands converted into wet by the repair of the tank will be a fair settlement of the case

(3) That assessment of 6 1/2 Rs

on the bed of the tank (in pet^r's holding) will be lost to Govt unless the provisions of Sec^n 63(3) Land Rev Rules are applied and a fine not exceeding 25 times the annual assessment be levied

Pet^r has in his deposition before the amildar (8 21/7/941) stated that he is willing to pay the usual assessment on the bed of the tank and that he elects the 2nd of the 3 alternative courses set forth in para 3 of the Appendix F of the Land Rev Rules viz to pay the highest dry rate on the cultivable bed of the tank

A rough calculation of the net gain to pet^r in the two cases is given below. (The data are taken from serial nos 4 + 6). The way in wh the bed of the tank is disposed of is not material since in either case the assessment is not lost (in the first case the fine is the capitalised value of the assessment)

I Net gain to pet^r

= 1/4 lost assessment on the

atchakat = 22 Rs (approximately)

12 Atchakat under the tank = 20 acres + 1 guntha

Average wet assessment per acre = Rs 4-6-5

Highest dry rate = Rs 1-0-0

II Net gain to petr = difference of wet & dry assessments on the atchakat = 20 × (Rs 3-6-5)

~~= 68 Rs (approximately)~~

= 68 Rs (approximately)

of the entire atchakat, only 12 acres & 19 guntas are in petr's holding, and hence the occupants of the remaining lands will have to pay to petr either 1/4 of wet assessment or difference of wet & dry assessment

The question for decision is whether the Survey Supt's settlement of the case may be adopted or petr's wishes complied with

The Sub Dn Offr is the cause of all this trouble & annoyance to the Petr. He ought to have sought the sanction of govt and settled the terms of concession upon he gave permission to the Petr to repair & restore the Katte.

The most equitable settlement under all the circs of the case is to remit a quarter of the wet assessment as proposed by the Sy Supt. The dry assessment of the land in the bed may be remitted without any fine. If lands not in the holding of the Petr are irrigated & cultivated with wet crops water rate under the rules will be levied and ~~a quarter of the~~ one fourth portion of such situation paid

Sub to 2nd Councillor

ML 2/3/97

To the Petr. Copy of order to Sy Supt

3/4/97

Draft proceedings & Issue to Petr

ML 5/4/97

5/3/97

MYSORE DEWAN'S OFFICE.
PETITION DEPARTMENT.
REG'D. NO. 2362
DATE 21-12-91

(1)

MYSORE DEWAN'S OFFICE.
PETITION DEPARTMENT.
CAMP Nagamangala
REG'D. NO. 789
DATE 12-12-91

L.R. 113-91-92

550

24/12/91

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible] 19-10-71 [illegible]

550

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible] 4-10 [illegible]

5 818

8434

455
27/11/92

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible] 18 [illegible] 743 [illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible] 27/11/92 [illegible]

[illegible]

27-1-92 [illegible]

23136

3.6.92

74

474

1291

10/5/92

13-5-92

20/3/92

30/4/92

ಸಿ 5343

18/11/61

ಸಂಬಂಧಪಟ್ಟ ಅಧಿಕಾರಿಗಳಿಗೆ
ಕಳುಹಿಸಲಾಗಿದೆ
ಕ್ರಮ ಕೈಗೊಳ್ಳಲು

ಶಿರಸ್ತೆದಾರರು
ಗ ಸ್ವ ಸಂ ಕಛೇರಿ
ಶಿವಮೊಗ್ಗ

ಸಂ 172/58

ಸದರಿ ಅರ್ಜಿದಾರರಿಗೆ ಕಛೇರಿ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ ಸಂ ಸ್ವ ಸಂ ಕಛೇರಿ ಶಿವಮೊಗ್ಗ ಇವರಿಂದ ಕಳುಹಿಸಿದ ಕ್ರಮ ಕೈಗೊಳ್ಳಲು ಕಳುಹಿಸಿದೆ.

ಮಲೆಗೊಂಡನಹಳ್ಳಿ ಗ್ರಾಮದ ಸರ್ವೆ ನಂಬರ್ 385 ರಲ್ಲಿ ಸರ್ಕಾರಿ ಪೋಡಿ ಮಾಡಿ ಕೆಲವರಿಗೆ ಹಕ್ಕುಪತ್ರ ಕೊಟ್ಟಿದ್ದು ಉಳಿದ ಕೆಲವರಿಗೆ ಕೊಡಲು ಬಾಕಿ ಇರುತ್ತದೆ. ಸರ್ವೆ ನಂಬರ್ 385 ರಲ್ಲಿ ಸರ್ಕಾರಿ ಪೋಡಿ ಮಾಡಿ ಹಕ್ಕುಪತ್ರ ಕೊಡಲು ಬಾಕಿ ಇರುವ ಫಲಾನುಭವಿಗಳಿಗೆ ಹಕ್ಕುಪತ್ರ ಕೊಡಲು ಕ್ರಮ ಕೈಗೊಳ್ಳಲಾಗಿದೆ.

ಸ್ವ ಸಂ ಕಛೇರಿ
ಶಿವಮೊಗ್ಗ
18/11/61

ಸಂ 172/58 ಅರ್ಜಿದಾರರಿಗೆ ಕಳುಹಿಸಿದೆ.

ಸ್ವ ಸಂ ಕಛೇರಿ
ಶಿವಮೊಗ್ಗ
18/11/61

ಪಿ 5636

30/11/91

[illegible]

655/108

[illegible]

[illegible] 385 [illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible] 27-11-91

[illegible] 4176

[illegible] 9/3/91

[illegible] 1264 / 1436 [illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible] 5/3/91

[illegible]

23/10/91

[illegible] 10/91 [illegible]

24/10/91

[illegible]

[illegible]

1040

1401

[illegible]

18-2-91

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible] 5-3-91 [illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible] 1040/1401 [illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]
[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]
[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]
[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]
[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]
[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]
[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]
[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]
[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]
[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]
[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]
[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]
[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]
[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]
[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]
[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]
[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]
[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]
[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[redacted] [illegible]

[illegible] — [illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

147

12-1-91

[illegible]

[illegible]

N 20
3425

1211
1364

10/7/91

(1)

(2)

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible] 10/2/91

[illegible]

387

13-1-93

ಕೆ
473

ಪ್ರೆಂಚ್‌ರಾಜ್ಯ ಸಂಬಂಧ ಪ್ರಯತ್ನ ಮಾಡಿದ
ಸಾಹೇಬರವರು ಲಿಖಿತ ಕಾಗದ ಬರೆದಿದ್ದು ನಾನು ಸಂತೋಷಪಟ್ಟೆ
ಲಿಖಿತ ಕಾಗದ ಪ್ರಿಂಚ್ಯಾ ನರಗನಳ್ಳಿ ಗ್ರಾಮದವರ್ಸ್ ಲುಬ್ರಾ ಮಾಲ್ಕಾ ಮೆಸ್ಸೆ
ಲುಬರ್ ತೆಂಗಿನ ಅಭಿಷೇಕ ಮಾಡಿದ್ದೆ

ಕಬರಕ್ಕು ದಿನಾಲ್ಕು ಹೆಚ್ಚಿ ಬರಕ್ಕು ನಾನು ಮಾಡಿದ್ದೆ ಕಾಗದ
ತೆಂಬೆ ಪಟ್ಟಾ ಸಂತೋಷಿಸಿದ್ದು. ಕಾಗದ ಗ್ರಾ - ಗ್ರಾ - ನಗರಲ್ಲಿ ಬಾಯಿ
ಕದಗ್ರಾ ಸುತ್ತಿ ಹೆಚ್ಚಿ ಪ್ರಾಂತ ತೆಗೆದು ಮಾಡಿ ಸಂಬಂ - ಕರತ - ಲ್ಲಿ ಕೆಲ್ಲ ನಾ
ನು ಕಾರಲ್ಲಿ ಗಮನಿಸಿದಾ ತೆಗೆದ ಕಲ್ಲಾಗಿ ಕತ್ತೆಂಡು ಲುಬರ ಹಿಂದೆ ಲು
ಬ ನಂಸ್ಥೆಂಕಾಗಿ ಲುಬ್ರಾ ತಂಬ್ಳಿ ಬಿ ಮಾಳ್ ಕರಿಸಂಗಲ ನಳ್ಳಿ ಸಂಗಿ
ತೆಂಗ್ಳು ತೆಗೆ - ಮಂಬಾಗಿ ಲು - ಲುಬರ ಸೆಂಟಿಬ್ಬಾ ತೆಂದಾ ಲು
ಕಾತ್ರಂ ತೆಗೆ ಕ್ರಿಸ್ತಂ ಅಪ್ಪಿಲ್ ಆ ಗಾಚೆ ತೆಂಕ - ನಾನು ಅಪ್ಪಿಲ್ ಅ ಚಿಕ್ಕಾಂ
ಕ್ಕಾಗಿ ತೆಂಗಿಂತ ಕತ್ತೆ - ನಿನ್ನ ತಾಂಬಾಗಾರಿ ಸಂಗಿ ಸುಕ್ಕಾ ಲುಬರ ಬಾಯ್ಕ ಬಾ -
ತೆಂದಾ ಲುಬ್ರಾ ಲುಬ್ರಾ ಬಾಗ್ಗೆ - ಕ್ರಿಸ್ತ ಹ್ಯಿ ತೆ ಪ್ರಿಂಚ್ - ಹೆಬರ ಕ್ರಿಸ್ತ ಲುಬರ
ಲಿ ನಿಂಸ ಅಭಿಷೇಕ ಮಾಡ್ಲ ತ - ಳುಬಿಸಿ ಲುಬ್ರ ಸೆಂಬಾಗಿ ಕಂಬಿಂಬಾ ಬಿ ಸಾಳ್
ಹೆಬರ ಕಾಲು ಅಪ್ಪಿಲ್ ತೆಗೆ ತಿಂಬಿಸಿ ಕ್ರಿಸ್ತ ಹ್ಯಿ ತ - ಪ್ರಿಂಚ್ - ಹೆಬರ ಕ್ರಿಸ್ತ
ಗೆ ಅಭಿಷೇಕ ತೆಗೆಂಬ್ಲಿ ಲು ಪ್ರಿಂಚ್ - ರಾಜ್ಯ ಸಂಗಿ ಪ್ರಿಂಚ್ - ಹೆಬರ ಕ್ರಿಸ್ತ ಹ್ಯಿ ತೆಗೆಂ ಲುಂ
ಬಾಗಿ ನಂಸ ಅಭಿಷೇಕ ಕೆಂಬ್ಲಿ ಇಂ ತಾಂಕಾಸು ಅಪ್ಪಿಲ್ ಆ ಗಿ ಲುಬ್ರ -
ಸಂಬಂಧ ತೆಗೆಂಬ್ಲಿ ನಾನ್ ಇಗಂ ಲುಬ್ರ ಲು ಸಿಂಬ ಬಿನ ಲ್ಲ ಲ್ಲ ತೆಂ ಲುಂ ಗಿ
ಲು ಸಂಬ್ರಿಸಿ - ಲು ಲ್ಲ ತಾಂಬಾಗಿ ಲು ಕಲ್ಲಾಗಿ ಬಿ ಲು ಬ್ರಿಸ್ತ - ಇಂಬಾಂಗ
ಕಲ್ಲ - ಲುಂ ಅಭಿಷೇಕ ಕಾಂಬಾ ಬರಂಸಾಸು ಕಲ್ಲಿಸ್ತಿಂ ತೆ ತೆ ಸಿಲ್ಲ ಪ್ರ ಪ್ರ ಕ್ರಿ
ಸಿ ತೆಂಗಾಂ ತು - ತಂಬ್ಲಿ ತೆಂಬಾಲು ನಸ್ಲಿ - ಸಕ್ಕಾ ಕತ್ತೆ ತೆ ಸಕಲ ತೆ - ಂಳ್ಳು ನಂ ಲ
ಪ್ರೆಂಚ್ ಆ ಗಾಚೆ ತೆಂ ತೆ ತೆ ಸ್ಲಿಲ್ಲ ನ ಅಳ್ಳೆ ನಂ ನಸ್ಥೆಂ ಕಲ್ಲಾಗಿ ಬ ಬಿ ಲ
ಅಭಿಷೇಕ ಬಾ ನನಿಗೆ ತಂಬ್ಲಿ ಕಳ್ಳಿ ಕಾಲ್ಕ ತಾಂಬಿಸಿ ತೆಂಗಾಂ ಕಾಚೆ ತೆಂ ಕಾಚೆ ಕ
ತೆಂಗ್ಳು ತೆಗೆ - ಪ್ರೆಂಚ್ - ಇಲ್ಲಿಂ ಗಳ್ಳ ಕ್ರಿಸ್ತ ಲುಬ್ರ ಲುಬ್ರಾ ಸ್ಲಿ ಸ್ಲಿ ಕ್ರಿ ಕ್ರಿ

24-3-93

7-4-93

680 — 1101 — 473

928 — 387 — 1383

1383

1575

12417

900 — 1000

6/4/93

16

36

[illegible]

8-7-93

[illegible]

[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]
[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]
[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]
[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]
[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]
[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]

ಸಿ 3208
1-10-93

ಕಿಲ್ಲೆ
175

ಶ್ರೀಯುತರಾದ ಸಬ ಆಮಲ್ದಾರರವರು
ಹುಮನಾಬಾದ ಸಮೀಪ

ನಾನು ಮಾಲಕತ್ತಿನಲ್ಲಿರುವ ನಮಗೆ ಸೇರಿದ ಸರ್ವೆ ನಂಬರ್ ಮತ್ತು ಹಿಸ್ಸಾ ನಂಬರ್ ಗಳನ್ನು ಬರೆದು ಕೊಡುವುದು ಅಂತಾ ಅರ್ಜಿ —

ಸದರಿ ಜಮೀನ ಅಕ್ಷರಶಃ ಒಣ ಜಮೀನ ಆದರೂ ಮಳ್ಳಿ ಹಾಳ ಗ್ರಾಮದ ಹೊಸ ಕೆರೆಯನ್ನು ನೀರಾವರಿ ಇಲಾಖೆ ವತಿಯಿಂದ ಕೆಲಸ ಮಾಡಿಸಿರುತ್ತಿರುವುದರಿಂದ ಸದರಿ ಕೆರೆಯ ಆಕಟ್ಟಿನ ಕೆಳಗೆ ಬರುವ ನಮ್ಮ ಜಮೀನದ ಬಾಬತ್ತು 100 ಮೀಟರ್ ಸುತ್ತಳತೆಯಷ್ಟು ಜಾಗೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಹಾಳ ಗಡಿಗೆ ಮುಳುಗಡೆಯಾಗುವುದರಿಂದ ಕೆಳಗಡೆ ಕೆಳಗಡೆ ನಾನು ಸರ್ಕಾರಕ್ಕೆ 30-ಗುಂಟೆ ಜಿರಾಯ್ತಿ ಬರೆದುಕೊಡುವುದು ಅಂತಾ ಹೇಳಿ ಸರ್ಕಾರಕ್ಕೆ ಕೇಳಿಕೊಳ್ಳುತ್ತೇನೆ. ಅಲ್ಲದೆ ನಮ್ಮ ಹೆಸರಿನಲ್ಲಿರುವ ಸರ್ವೆ ನಂಬರ್ 604 ರಲ್ಲಿ ಸುಮಾರು ಎರಡು ಎಕರೆ ಜಮೀನು ಅಲ್ಲಿ ಸರ್ಕಾರದವರು ಕೆರೆ ಕಟ್ಟಿಸಿದ್ದರಿಂದ ಮುಳುಗಡೆಯಾಗಿರುವುದರಿಂದ ಇನ್ನುಳಿದಂತಹ ನಮಗೆ ಉಳುವುದಕ್ಕೆ ಕೆಲಸಕ್ಕೆ ಬರುವಂತ ಜಮೀನು ಕೊಡಬೇಕಾಗಿರುವುದರಿಂದ ಸದರಿ ಜಮೀನದಲ್ಲಿ ಸರ್ಕಾರದವರು ದರಖಾಸ್ತು ಮಾಡಿಕೊಂಡ ಜಮೀನದಲ್ಲಿ ಸರ್ಕಾರ ಕೆರೆ ಕಟ್ಟಿಸಿದ್ದರಿಂದ ಮುಳುಗಡೆಯಾಗಿರುವ ಜಮೀನಿಗೆ ಬದಲಾಗಿ ಸರ್ಕಾರದವರು ಸರ್ಕಾರಕ್ಕೆ ಸೇರಿದ ಜಮೀನು ಕೊಡಬೇಕು ಅಂತಾ ಕೇಳಿಕೊಳ್ಳುತ್ತೇನೆ —

ದಿನಾಂಕ 30-9-93

ಇಂತಿ ಸದರಿ

[illegible]

521

[illegible]

11-10-93

521

[illegible]

3741

15.10.93

[illegible]

11/10/93

[illegible]

3633
13.10.93

76
36

499

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible] 28 [illegible] 6619 [illegible] 6-8-[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible] 7622 [illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible] 3 [illegible]

[illegible] 48 [illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible] 10/10/93

458
588

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible] 6-19 [illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible] 4962 [illegible]

[illegible] 26/10/93 [illegible]

30

1/11/93

[illegible]

[illegible] 675/415 [illegible]

458/588 [illegible]

[illegible] 5214
4-12-93

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible] 60/19 [illegible]

[illegible] 20 [illegible] 86/19 [illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible] 502 [illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible] 4 [illegible]

2620 [illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]/11/[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

ದಾಖಲಾದ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ 6-19 ಮತ್ತು
ಉಳಿತಾಯ ಕೈಪತ್ರದ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ 5-2 ಮತ್ತು - ಎಣಿಸಿದ 11-21
ಮತ್ತು ಆರು ಸಾವಿರ ಎಪ್ಪತ್ತೆಂಟು ರೂಪಾಯಿ ಮೌಲ್ಯದ
ನಾಣ್ಯಗಳು ಕೂಡಿ ಹೇಳಿದ ಸುದ್ದಿ ಪೆಟ್ಟಿಗೆಯಲ್ಲಿ 2 ಎಳೆತ-ಕಿ ಆರು ಸಾವಿರ
ಎಪ್ಪತ್ತೆಂಟು ರೂಪಾಯಿ ಎಣಿಸಿದ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ 13-21 ಮತ್ತು
ಮುದ್ರೆ ಬಿಗಿದಿದ್ದು ಆರು ಸಾವಿರ ರೂಪಾಯಿ
ಸುದ್ದಿ ಪೆಟ್ಟಿಗೆಯಲ್ಲಿ 4 ಎಳೆತ-ಕಿ ಎಣಿಸಿದ
ಕೈಪತ್ರದ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ 2-20 ಮತ್ತು ಬಿಗಿದ ಮುದ್ರೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಇದೆ
ದಾ-ಆರು ಸಾವಿರ ರೂಪಾಯಿ
ಸುದ್ದಿ ಪೆಟ್ಟಿಗೆ 5-ಕಿ ಉಳಿತಾಯ ಕೈಪತ್ರ
ಆ ~~[illegible]~~ ನಾಲ್ಕು ಸಾವಿರ [illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

(General Serial No. 32.)

1892.

(2)

No. 1823

Name of Petitioner. Mudda Maistry

Village. Naraganhalli

Taluk. Nagamangala

District. Mysore

Date of Arri. 10.7.92

Date of Receipt. 12.7.92

Date of Disposal.

No. of Enclosures. - Nil -

L.R. 113-91-2

ABSTRACT.

Mat be repaired, the breached Katte of Mallarajapura at a cost of Rs 2000/-, that the Dewan in his recent tour had promised to levy only dry assessment even though the crop raised on the tank lands under the tank was wet and that the promised concession has not yet been given, prays for early settlement

[illegible]

18/1

R-23/1

Pet
896

30-0092
28/1/93

MYSORE DEWAN'S OFFICE,

PETITION DEPARTMENT.

OFFICIAL MEMORANDUM.

3

The accompanying Petition No. 1823

dated 10-7-92 received at the

Dewan's Office from Mudda maisty
narganhalli nagamangala Tal
Mysore Dist praying that as prom
to him by the Dewan, dry assessm
alone may be imposed on his wet
lands below the tank of malla
-raga pura, which he has repair
at his own cost

L-R. 113-91-3

is forwarded, ~~together with its~~

~~enclosures~~, to the Deputy Commiss

Mysore District for attention

By Order,

(Draft
Ch. Secy. Pros.)

BANGALORE,
26
January 1893.

Chief Secretary

(General Serial No. 30.)

1894 12072

From The Deputy Commissioner Pet 483
Mysore District.

To

The Chief Secretary to the Dewan
of Mysore.

Dated 3rd January, 1894

Despatched

Received L.R. 113 -91 -4

Enclosures No. Petition No. 109, copy of letter & Enclosure papers.

General No.
No. 800

Submitting report on the petition of Rudda Maistry of Narayana-halli, Nagamangala Taluk.

Fm. Revenue Notes
576

Submitted to the Chief Secretary with reference.

The mark in this case. Assuming that the applicant Rudda Maistry had previously sanction for undertaking the restoration, while it is not [illegible] to doubt the concession to which he is entitled is one of a dasavanda tenure mentioned in para 3 of App 7 to the Revenue [illegible] Proclamation (c.f. Chief Commr's Proclamation dated 13 July 1863). & with a view to deciding the claim on these lines the D.C. is requested to ascertain Rudda Maistry's proprietary & report (a) the [illegible] wet [illegible] for the Tank & (b) the [illegible] land [illegible] in the [illegible] villages.

[illegible]

Enclosure to the [illegible]

[illegible] [illegible] 7/6/94

The Dewan [illegible] that the question [illegible] [illegible]

No. 860.
Rev. Petition No. 783 and copy of letter & Cadaverse papers.

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER'S OFFICE,
MYSORE DISTRICT,

Dated Mysore January 31st 1894.

Sir

From

A. Rungaswamy Iyengar Esquire B.A.B.L.

(6)

Deputy Commissioner,
Mysore District.

To

The Chief Secretary to the Dewan of Mysore,
Bangalore

SIR,

With reference to your memo No. 896, dated 24th January 1893, I have the honor to submit herewith for the orders of Government, Copy of the French Rocks Sub Division Officer's letter No. 1004, dated 8th May 1893 and its enclosures, reporting on the petition of Mudda Ukaistry of Naraganahully Nagamangla Taluk, and stating that under the circumstances therein represented, the concession prayed for by the petitioner for the levy of dry assessment on his lands cannot be complied with as the tank by which these lands are irrigated was only repaired at his own cost and not constructed by him, vide Section XV, para 13 of the Revenue Rules.

2. It is stated that he has spent nearly 1000 Rs. If this be true, the repairs must have been as heavy as if the tank had been constructed newly - and though no concessions had actually been promised to him, I think the

the Government have in a way been committed by the action of the then Sub Division officer, and it is desirable that the Government should extend a liberal treatment to the man.

3. The Sub Division officer has stated in his docket No 744 dated 15 ultimo, that the total extent of dry land which may be brought under wet cultivation is 20 acres, and 1 Guntas out of which 12 acres and 19 Guntas only belong to the Petitioner, and that the probable increase in the Government revenue by the imposition of water rate at Rs 3 per acre on the remaining lands is only Rupees 22. 9. 2. This is not correct, as the 3 Rupees rate does not apply to Tank irrigation, and as the highest rate is only 2. 8. 0.

4. To levy a full water rate at Rs 2. 8. 0, per acre, as per Rule IX para 3 would be totally to ignore Petitioner's claim for consideration for the repairs executed by him to the tank. I would therefore suggest that the lower rate leviable under the rules viz Rs 1. 8. 0. per acre may be imposed on the petitioner's lands, and that he may be required in addition to keep the tank in good repair. As regards other lands we may levy water rate at Rs 2. 8. 0, and take the whole to Government, or let the Petitioner have

have the difference between this and the more lenient rate of Rs 1. 8. 0, in consideration of his obligation to keep the tank in repair.

5. The petition is herewith resubmitted

I have the honor to be

Sir,

your most obedt Servt

[illegible]

Deputy Commissioner
Mysore District

Compd. MM
Wm.

No: 1004
Cu 1 file

Sub-Division Office
French Rocks

Dated 6/8th May, 1893.

To

The Deputy Commissioner
Mysore District.

Sir:-

With reference to your memo no: 6639 dated 1st February 1893 (herewith retransmitted) calling for report on the petition of Mudda Maistry of Narayanahalli Nagamangla Taluk, praying that only dry assessment may be levied from him on his dry lands which may be brought under wet cultivation from the water of Mallarajapura tank which he

he has repaired at his own cost,

58

I beg to state that Mudda Maisting Sought permission from Mr. Syed Ahmed the then Amildar to restore at his own cost the breached tank of Hosakere situated in Mallarajapura and begged that when the tank was restored he may be allowed to pay only the existing dry assessment on such of the lands as may be converted into wet by the waters of the tank. The Amildar in submitting the report on the petition, stated that as the tank did not come under any series and its restoration would not prejudice the supply of any other detached, private, or Government reservoir and as the

the tank when restored would also prove beneficial to the ryots of Nagarghatta and of other adjacent villages, permission may be granted to Mudda Maistry to restore the tank. When this report was received, I was the Sub-Division Officer. I directed the Amildar to inform the applicant that his application would receive due consideration if he was prepared to execute a Mutchalika that he would not claim any concession on his dry lands which might be irrigated by the tank and further agreed to complete the work within

a specified time purely for charity;

60

The Amildar reported to say that Mudda Maistry claimed the concession and recommended sanction. By this time I was relieved of the charge of the Sub-Division by Mr. Delvay Devaraji Nro and the latter officer passed orders on the above report granting permission to Mudda Maistry to restore the tank reserving the question of concession for consideration after the restoration of the tank. Mudda Maistry accordingly commenced to restore the tank and repeated his prayer for

for the concession. The Sub-Collector who has inspected the tank represents that good deal of earth and stone work has been executed, but that tamping has not been properly executed and that the bund requires more widening. The total cost of the work executed is calculated to be rupees 1000-0-0 by the Sub-Collector. The Executive Engineer French Rocks Division has now been requested to favour me with his opinion on the extent and quality of work executed by the petitioner and what more is required to be done

In the meantime I beg to submit, that I see no objection to the tank being restored

by Mudda Maisty purely from motives of charity as I find that the numbers which will be submerged by restoring the tank all belong to the petitioner and no one else. But with regard to the concession prayed for by him, strictly speaking, it cannot be granted under Section XX para 13 of the Revenue Rules, as the tank is not altogether a new work and his having spent any money on it, cannot also in my opinion give him any strong lien to claim the concession as I observe from the records that when the permission to restore the tank was granted to him by the then Sub-

Sub-Division Officer the question of concession was reserved for future consideration without holding out any explicit hope or promise to him. If anything at all, it may be a case for the special consideration of the Government. Orders solicited

I have &c

(Sd) Mahomed Ali

Sub-Div. Officer
French Rocks

Copy

[signature]

Dy Commissioner
Mysore Dist

Compd. [illegible]

64

(5)

To.

The Deputy Commissioner,
Mysore Distt.

Encl. Wire file
Desp'd 18/6/94.

L.R. 113-91-5

Dt. 7th June 1894.
No. 21543 C
Feb 1997.

His letter No. 860 dated 31st January 1894 reporting on the petition from Mudda Maistry of Naraganahalli in the Nagamangala Taluk, praying for the imposition of dry assessment alone on his wet lands below the Mallarajapura Tank.

In reply, the Deputy Commr. is informed that all questions of water rate are beside the mark in the above case. Assuming that

that the applicant Moodda Maistri had ~~subsequent~~ sufficient sanction for undertaking the restoration of the Tank, the concession to which he is entitled is one or other of those mentioned in para 3 of Appendix F to the Rules under the L. R. Code (C. f. Chief Commr's Proclamation dated 13th July 1863). and with a view to deciding the claim on these lines, the Deputy Commr is requested to ascertain Moodda Maistri's preferences & report

(a)

(General Serial No. 30.)

90 18.

1895.

Pet 412

From

The Dy Commissioner,
Mysore Dt

(6)

To

The Chief Secretary to the Dewan
of Mysore.

L.R. 113-91-6

Dated 15

Despatched 16 Jany 1895

Received

Enclosures No. 1 file V.p.

General No.
No.

Reports on the petn of Mudda Mestri regarding water rate on his lands below malla-rajapura [illegible], Naran-gunahalli, [illegible]

Pet Ar
18/1/95
Sent to the Ch. Secy.
[illegible] 8/2

No.

Enclosures No.

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER'S OFFICE,
MYSORE DISTRICT,
Dated 15th January 1895.

From A. Rangasamy Iyengar Esq. B.A. B.L.
Deputy Commissioner,
Mysore District.

To

The Chief Secretary to the Dewan of Mysore.

SIR.

With reference to your docket No. 21643 / Feb 1997, dated 7th June, 1894, calling for certain information regarding the imposition of water-rate, on Mudda Mestry's lands, below Mallarajapura tank, Nargunnhalli village, Naga-mangla Taluk, I have the honor to submit as follows:-

1. (a) The average wet assessment for the Taluk is Rs 4-6-5 an acre.

(b). The highest dry land rate prevailing in this and surrounding villages is Rs 1 and 2 respectively an

an acre

2. The area and assessment of the land submerged by the restored tank is acres 4, and guntas 16, and ~~Rs~~ 6-8-a respectively.

The enclosures received with the above, together with the deposition obtained from Mudda Mestri, are herewith returned.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedt. Servant

K Brunnenjunger
Dep'ty Commissioner
Mysore District

Compd.
[illegible]

To

The Deputy Commr
Mysore District

Dated 25.5.95, No. 21960 / Per F. 93-94

His letter No. 727 dated 15.1.95 from reporting on the petition of Mudda Maistry of Narganahalli, Nagamangala Taluk, regarding the water rate imposed on his lands below Mallarajapura Tank.

With reference to the above, has the honor to request that he will be so good as to state whether the tank has been inspected by an officer of the D.P.N. in pursuance of the requisition of the Sub-Divn. ~~If not, the Dr. Comr. must arrange for the inspection of the tank, and the submission of~~ a professional report on its present condition and the outlay incurred by Mudda Maistri. ~~He~~ The Dr. Comr. is further requested to submit an explanation as to the circumstances under which the Sub-Division Officer took

to the Executive Engineer French Rocks Divn. ~~to the Sub-Divn. French Rocks~~, and if it has been inspected, to obtain and submit

L.R. 113-91-7

took it upon himself to hold out hopes of any concession and permitted the restoration of the tank on his own responsibility.

If the tank has not yet been inspected by an offr. of the D.P.W., the Dy. Commr. is requested to arrange in such inspection & submission of the report called for. By Ord.

Draft.

(R. C. Ords.)

[signature] 30/5/95

Under Secretary.

[signature] 29/5. [signature] 29/5

No. 1002
Enclosures No. 2

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER'S OFFICE,
MYSORE DISTRICT,
Dated Mysore 16th December 1896

73

(9)

To

The Secretary to the Government of Mysore,
Revenue and General Departments.

SIR,

With reference to your docket No. 21960 Pet. No. 93-94 dated 25th May 1895, in re the imposition of wet rate on Mudda Maistry's lands below the Mallarajpura tank, Narganahalli, Nagamangala taluk, enquiring whether the tank repaired by him has been inspected by an Officer of Public Works Department, in pursuance of the requisition of the Sub-Division Officer to the Executive Engineer, French Rocks Division, I have the honor to state that it has been inspected by the Supervisor of the Nagamangala Range and a copy of his report to the Executive Engineer, French Rocks Division, received with the Sub-Division Officer's docket No. 318 of the 13th September 1896 is submitted herewith.

2. As regards the circumstances under which Mudda Maistry was permitted to repair the tank in question, I beg to invite your kind attention to the copy of French Rocks Sub-Division Officer's letter No. 1004 dated 8th May 1893 submitted with this office letter No. 960 of the 2nd January 1894

(serial No. 4 in the file)

in which Mr Muhammed Ali, the then Sub-Division Officer, expressed his opinion that no concession need be shown to the petitioner for repairing the tank in question.

I have the honor to be,

Sir

Your most obed. servant,

[illegible] Shama Rao

For Deputy Commissioner
Mysore District.

Compd.
[illegible]

on that tank.

All original references are herewith enclosed.

I beg &c.

/Signed/ C.S. Narayana Rao
Supr. No. II Sub Div.

Nagamangla
3/8/96

L.R. 113-91-9

"Copy"

[illegible]
Sub Divisional Officer
of French Rocks

Gen. 31.]

189

From The Supervisor No. II Sub Division

To The Executive Engineer French Rocks Div.

Dated 3/8/96
Despatched 2/9/96
Received

General No. 407
No.

Enclosure No. 3

Sir,

your No 313 dated 26/7/96 forwarding Revenue Sub Division officers No. 194 dated 12th August 1895 for a report on the condition of the new tank built by one Mudda Mistry of Narayanahully Nagamangla Taluk

With reference to the above, I beg to state that I have already

Submitted a report about the condition of the tank in question vide this office No 255 dated 24.6.93, the original report which had been lately received from the Executive Engineer's office with No 1294 dated 21.8.96 is herewith attached for perusal.

(2) The slipped portion of the bund noted therein has been rectified and put in order.

(3) The approximate cost of work due to the tank under reference is about Rs 2296 as per details noted in the enclosed memo which was arrived at by taking tape measurements of the existing bund.

(4) The tank bund is standing fairly well. The gap in the right end is to be bunded up and extended on to high ground. The Kodi at the left end is to be levelled and approach cleared out to Kodi level to admit of free discharge when tank fills. The training bank of this Kody is to be strengthened and revetted at least for about 30 yards beyond Kody to prevent its breaching.

The construction of this Katte on small tank, is not only very useful to the Villagers of Malrajpura who always had a great difficulty for water, also prevents the strain of Kelagere Tank to some extent, as well the top tanks in ore Valley is breached and much strain existed

(10)

No. 6915 / P.H. 93-94 of Exp: one file of record on [illegible]

1.2.97

The Superintendent
of Revd Survey
Mysore

Bangalore 1/1.2.97

L.R. 113-91-10

Sir,

In forwarding
herewith the entire
correspondence
connected with the [illegible]
[illegible] of dry [illegible]
on his maistry
lands below the Mallarappa
tank, Nagamangala
Taluk, [illegible] the honour
to request that you will
be so good as to favour
the Govt with your
views in the matter,

I have &c

[illegible]

For Secy

[illegible]

the obligingly returned

Maybe for the [illegible]

List of papers sent to the Survey Suptt:

S. No	Letter No:	Date	From whom	To whom
1.	C. 789 / 2362	12-12-91.	Mudda Maistry	Diwan of Mysore
2.	1823.	10-7-92	Mudda Maistry	abstract only
3.	896	26-1-93	Chief Secretary	D.C. Mysore
4.	860	31-1-94	D.C. Mysore	Chief Secretary
5.	21643 / 1997	7-6-94	Chief Secretary	D.C. Mysore
6.	727	15-1-95	D.C. Mysore	Chief Secy:
7	21960	25-5-95	Secretary to Govt.	D.C. Mysore
8.	———		office notes.	
9.	1002 with its 2 enclosures	16-12-96	D.C. Mysore.	Secy: to Govt.

+ also some Vernacular papers which had no pheristt

[illegible] 9/12/92.

Gen. Serial No. 20.] 5655 Pet 529

1897

From The Supt Mysore Pres Survey

To

The ~~Chief~~ Secretary to the Govt ~~Dewan~~ of Mysore.

Dated 25th February 1897

Despatched

Received

Enclosures No. one file

General No. 569
No.

In reply to letter No. 6915 of 1st Instt. P.F. 93-94

SUBJECT.

Submitting his opinion on the case of Mudda Mastri who prays for the imposition of dry rates on his lands below the Mallarajpur tank in the Nalmangal Tk. restored by him.

References—(to be entered only in the Dewan's Office).

Pet
26.2.97
Submitted with refers
27/2

Sent
[illegible] 1/2

Sent to 2nd Councillor with office-notes
2/3/97

R.L. 7 Pm
3/3

L. R. 113-91-11

No 569 8

Survey Superintendent's Office
Bangalore 25th February
1897

To

The Secretary to the
Government of Mysore
Rev and Gl Departts

Sir,

I have the honor, as re-
-quested in your letter No 6915 / P 7939
dated the 1st February 1897
to offer my opinion on the
case of Mudda Maistry
who prays for the imposition
of dry rates on his lands
below the Mallarajpur
tank in the Nelmangal
Taluk, restored by him

2

2 Presuming that all preliminaries and formalities have been duly complied with by the Petitioner and permission duly granted and to restore the land, I consider that the case comes under Appendix F Rule 3 of the Land Revenue Rules any one of the three forms of concessions mentioned in which may be granted according to the circumstances of the case. I do not con-

-cur

-ence in the opinion expressed by the Subdivision Officer in his letter No. 1004 of the 8th July 1893, and repeated although not actually affirmed by the Deputy Commissioner in his letter No. 1002 of the 16th December 1896, that no con-cession need be shown to the Petitioner for having restored the Court as the Petitioner was allowed to restore the Court and was distinctly

distinctly told that the question of concession would be reserved

3 The work is a small one and 7.16 above the tank assessed at Rs 6.8. which will be submerged will be lost to Government unless brought under the provision of Section 63 (3) of the Land Revenue Code. On the other hand the water which will be stored will be a boon to certain villages. On the whole I think if fair of such wet assessment as

may

may be fixed by the Survey Department be remitted it will be a fair settlement of the present case. The enclosures received with the above are herewith returned.

I have the honor to be
Sir,
Your most obedt. Servant
[illegible]
Supt. Mysore Revenue Survey

Proceedings of the Govt of Mysore

Read (12)

(1) Petition No 2362 & 1823 dated 12th December 1891 & 10th July 1892 from Mudda Maistry of Naraganahalli village, Nagamangala Taluk praying for the imposition of dry rates on his wet lands below the Mallarajpur tank, Nagamangala Taluk restored by him.

(2) Correspondence ending with Mysore Dt Deputy Commissioner's letter No 1002 dated 15th December 1896 reporting on the facts of the case.

(3) Letter No 559 dated 25th February 1897 from the Supt Mysore Revenue Survey submitting his opinion on the case.

L.R. 113-91-12

(1517)

Order thereon No 8905-6. / P.F. 93-94 / 13 9.4.97

Government observe that the Sub Division officer is the cause of all this trouble & annoyance to the petitioner. He ought to have sought the sanction of Govt and settled the terms of concession before he gave permission to the petr to repair & restore the Matte.

2 The most equitable settlement

settlement under all the circum-
-stances of the case is to remit
a quarter of the wet assessment
as proposed by the Survey Supt.
The dry assessment of the land
in the bed will be remitted without
any fine being imposed under Secn 63 of the Land Rev Regn
If lands not in the holding
of the petitioner are irrigated
& cultivated with wet crops,
water rate under the rules
will be levied and one fourth
portion of such collection will be
paid to the petitioner.

87

"Dft"
C2

Secretary 9/4/97

Copy to the Dy Comr Mysore Dt
Copy to the Supt Mysore Rev: Survey.

2362-1823

13-4-97

L.R. 113-91-13

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

By order

Dft
C2

Appt Secretary.

[illegible]
9/4